Ехнівіт Ј

```
Page 1
          THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 1
 2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 3
 4
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
 5
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
     OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
 6
     ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
     in his capacity as the
 7
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
 8
     FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
                  Plaintiff,
 9
10
     vs.
                                    )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
11
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
                  Defendants.
12
13
14
15
                          MOTION FOR
16
          PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING
17
18
           BEFORE THE HONORABLE GREGORY FRIZZELL
19
20
                           VOLUME I
21
                      Daily Copy Transcript
22
23
                        February 19, 2008
24
2.5
```

		Page 35
1	suit, as saying that Edmondson admits he lacks	
2	evidence that poultry farmers have violated the laws	
3	or caused pollution. If that were true, this	
4	hearing would be very short, indeed, but I think	
5	it's very apparent from spending an hour on pretrial	10:11AM
6	motions that this is not going to be a short	
7	hearing.	
8	On the contrary, our evidence over the next	
9	several days will be that these defendants are and	
10	have been in violation of federal law limiting the	10:11AM
11	disposal of waste. Our evidence will show that	
12	these defendants are and have been in violation of	
13	black letter Oklahoma statutes providing that	
14	land-applied poultry waste shall not enter the	
15	waters of the state. Our evidence will show that	10:11AM
16	these defendants are and have been in violation of	
17	black letter federal and Oklahoma law prohibiting	
18	pollution and contamination of ground and surface	
19	waters. Our evidence will show that these	
20	defendants are and have been in violation of	10:11AM
21	regulations requiring that surface application of	
22	poultry waste be limited to the needs of the crops.	
23	Most importantly, for the purpose of this hearing,	
24	our evidence will show that these persistent and	
25	pervasive violations of state and federal law have	10:12AM

		Page 36
1	infested the rivers and springs and wells of the	
2	Illinois River watershed with biologic pathogens	
3	that have created an imminent and substantial threat	
4	to human health.	
5	Three years ago, Your Honor, the State of	10:12AM
6	Oklahoma filed suit against these defendants	
7	alleging environmental damage to the Illinois River	
8	watershed due to the excessive surface application	
9	of poultry waste. This waste consisting of fecal	
10	matter, bedding and water contains, among other	10:12AM
11	things, nitrogen and phosphorus and is an effective	
12	fertilizer when properly used. We alleged then and	
13	still maintain that the litter is being applied well	
14	in excess of the agronomic needs of crops and that	
15	the resulting runoff from fields has damaged the	10:12AM
16	waters of the basin, including Lake Tenkiller.	
17	Trial of the case-in-chief is scheduled next	
18	year. However, in the course of preparation for	
19	that trial, we began to develop data concerning the	
20	effects of this dumping on human health. We feel	10:13AM
21	that data is compelling, and because of the human	
22	health implications, it could not wait until next	
23	year. We, therefore, are seeking this injunction.	
24	The legal framework for this hearing is not	
25	complicated. It includes the elements for the	10:13AM